SPIN-1999/23
hep-th/9910023

arXiv:hep-th/9910023v2 19 Oct 1999

Quantum effective action from the AdS/CFT correspondence

Kostas Skenderis[1] **and Sergey N. Solodukhin**[2]

Spinoza Institute, University of Utrecht,
Leuvenlaan 4, 3584 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract: We obtain an Einstein metric of constant negative curvature given an arbitrary boundary metric in three dimensions, and a conformally flat one given an arbitrary conformally flat boundary metric in other dimensions. In order to compute the on-shell value of the gravitational action for these solutions, we propose to integrate the radial coordinate from the boundary till a critical value where the bulk volume element vanishes. The result, which is a functional of the boundary metric, provides a sector of the quantum effective action common to all conformal field theories that have a gravitational description. We verify that the so-defined boundary effective action is conformally invariant in odd (boundary) dimensions and has the correct conformal anomaly in even (boundary) dimensions. In three dimensions and for arbitrary static boundary metric the bulk metric takes a rather simple form. We explicitly carry out the computation of the corresponding effective action and find that it equals the non-local Polyakov action.

PACS number(s): 11.25.-w,04.62.+v,04.60.-m,11.25.Hf

[1]email:K.Skenderis@phys.uu.nl
[2]email:S.Solodukhin@phys.uu.nl

There is accumulating evidence that certain conformal field theories (CFT) have a dual description in terms of string (or M) theory on anti-de Sitter (adS) spaces [1–3]. This is a strong/weak coupling duality, so perturbative calculations on one side of the correspondence yield strong coupling results on the other side. In particular, the strong coupling limit of these CFTs has a description in terms of supergravity theory.

Certain aspects of the correspondence are rather universal and apply to all cases that such a duality exists. For example, the leading in the large N contribution to the Weyl anomaly is universal [4]. More generally, (certain) correlation functions of the energy momentum tensor at leading order can also be computed by using only the purely gravitational part of the corresponding supergravity and are therefore universal.

To obtain this universal behavior one would need to solve Einstein's equations with arbitrary Dirichlet boundary conditions (more precisely, one needs to determine an Einstein manifold of constant negative curvature given a conformal structure at infinity). This is a rather difficult problem whose solution in all generality has yet to be worked out. An existence theorem for such Einstein's metrics has been proved by Graham and Lee [5] for manifolds X_{d+1} that are topologically a $(d+1)$-ball, so the boundary at infinity is a d-sphere S^d, and conformal structures sufficiently close to the standard one. Moreover, one can explicitly obtain an asymptotic expansion of the bulk metric near infinity starting from any boundary metric [6, 4]. This information is sufficient in order to obtain the counterterms that render the effective action finite and the conformal anomaly [4]. However, it is not enough in order to obtain the finite on-shell value of the gravitational action. For this one would need the full solution, not just its asymptotic expansion near infinity.

In this letter we obtain a three dimensional Einstein metric of constant negative curvature given any boundary metric and a $(d+1)$-dimensional ($d > 2$) conformally flat Einstein metric with conformal structure at infinity represented by an arbitrary conformally flat metric. In the latter case, had we picked the flat metric as a representative of the boundary conformal structure, our solution would reduce to the standard anti-de Sitter metric in Poincaré coordinates, so it is locally isometric to adS spacetime. The

interest in considering the solution with arbitrary conformally flat metric in the boundary stems from the fact that in the adS/CFT correspondence the boundary metric becomes a source for the boundary energy momentum tensor, and in quantum field theory the sources are arbitrary. To obtain the on-shell value of the gravitational action one still has to know the global structure of the solutions. We shall not delve into this issue here. Nevertheless, we shall propose a general formula for the effective action, (23), and show that it has the correct transformation properties under conformal transformations.

Let X_{d+1} be a $(d+1)$-dimensional manifold with a boundary at infinity M_d. Given a metric $g_{(0)}$ at M_d we seek for a solution of Einstein's equations,

$$\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{1}$$

such that $g_{(0)}$ is a representative of the conformal structure at infinity. Here $\Lambda = -\frac{d(d-1)}{2l^2}$ is the cosmological constant, and throughout this article hatted quantities refer to $d+1$ dimensional object. Greek indices denote $(d+1)$-dimensional indices and latin indices d-dimensional ones. The radial coordinate will be denoted by ρ. We will work in the coordinate system introduced by Fefferman and Graham [6] where the bulk metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{l^2 d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}g_{ij}(x,\rho)dx^i dx^j \quad , \tag{2}$$

where

$$g(x,\rho) = g_{(0)} + \rho g_{(2)} + \rho^2 g_{(4)} + \dots \quad . \tag{3}$$

Equation (1) can be integrated exactly when the Weyl tensor vanishes. This is always true in three dimensions, so it imposes no further conditions. In higher dimensions, however, it implies that the bulk metric is conformally flat. The Weyl tensor in $(d+1)$ dimensions is equal to $(\mu = \{\rho, i\}, i = 0, ..., d-1)$

$$\hat{W}_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = \hat{R}_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} + (\hat{P}^{(d+1)}_{\lambda\mu}\hat{G}_{\kappa\nu} + \hat{P}^{(d+1)}_{\kappa\nu}\hat{G}_{\lambda\mu} - \hat{P}^{(d+1)}_{\kappa\mu}\hat{G}_{\lambda\nu} - \hat{P}^{(d+1)}_{\lambda\nu}\hat{G}_{\kappa\mu}), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\hat{P}^{(d+1)}_{\mu\nu}(\hat{G}) = \frac{1}{d-1}\left(\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hat{R}}{2d}\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{5}$$

When the Weyl tensor vanishes the field equations (1) become,

$$\hat{R}_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{l^2}(\hat{G}_{\kappa\mu}\hat{G}_{\lambda\nu} - \hat{G}_{\lambda\mu}\hat{G}_{\kappa\nu}). \tag{6}$$

This implies that the space is locally isometric to anti-de Sitter space. In the coordinate system (2), equation (6) becomes[3]

$$l^2 R_{ijkl}(g) = g_{ik}g'_{jl} + g_{jl}g'_{ik} - g_{il}g'_{jk} - g_{jk}g'_{il} - \rho(g'_{ik}g'_{jl} - g'_{il}g'_{jk}), \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_i g'_{jk} - \nabla_j g'_{ik} = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$g'' - \frac{1}{2}g'g^{-1}g' = 0. \tag{9}$$

One can show that if (9) holds to all orders and (7) and (8) to lowest order, then (7)-(8) hold to all orders. Differentiating (9) one obtains,

$$g''' = 0. \tag{10}$$

This means that the expansion in (3) terminates at ρ^2. One can integrate (9),

$$g = \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}g_{(2)}g_{(0)}^{-1}\right) g_{(0)} \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}g_{(0)}^{-1}g_{(2)}\right). \tag{11}$$

Therefore, to fully determine the metric we only need to know $g_{(2)}$. There are two cases to consider: $d = 2$ and $d > 2$. We start from the latter. In this case one easily obtains from (7),

$$g_{(2)ij} = l^2 P_{ij}^{(d)}(g_{(0)}) = l^2 \frac{1}{d-2}\left(R_{ij}^{(0)} - \frac{R_{(0)}}{2(d-1)}g_{(0)ij}\right), \tag{12}$$

where $R_{ij}^{(0)}$ is the Ricci tensor of the metric $g_{(0)}$ etc. From (7) and (4) we find that the Weyl tensor of $g_{(0)}$ vanishes. In $d > 3$ this implies that $g_{(0)}$ is conformally flat. In this case Bianchi identities imply that (8) is satisfied as well. In $d = 3$ the Weyl tensor vanishes identically. However, in this case the derivation of (8) through Bianchi's does not go through. The tensor in the left hand side of (8) at $\rho = 0$ is the Weyl-Schouten tensor (sometimes also called the Cotton tensor). The vanishing of the latter is a neccesary and sufficient condition for conformal flatness in three dimensions (see, for instance, [6]).

[3]Our conventions are as follows $R_{ijk}{}^{l} = \partial_i \Gamma_{jk}{}^{l} + \Gamma_{ip}{}^{l}\Gamma_{jk}{}^{p} - i \leftrightarrow j$ and $R_{ij} = R_{ikj}{}^{k}$. With these conventions the curvature of anti-de Sitter space comes out positive, but we will still use the term "metric of constant negative curvature" for anti-de Sitter metrics.

Thus, in $d = 3$, $g_{(0)}$ is required to be conformally flat as well. To summarize, we have shown that in $d > 2$ the metric (2) with g given by (11)-(12) is a conformally flat solution of Einstein's equation, provided $g_{(0)}$ is also conformally flat.

When $d = 2$ equation (7) only determines the trace,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(g_{(0)}^{-1} g_{(2)}) = \frac{l^2}{2} R_{(0)}. \tag{13}$$

From (8) we get

$$g_{(2)ij} = \frac{l^2}{2} \left(R_{(0)} g_{(0)ij} + T_{ij} \right), \tag{14}$$

where T_{ij} is a symmetric tensor that satisfies

$$\nabla^i T_{ij} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{Tr}(g_{(0)}^{-1} T) = -R_{(0)}. \tag{15}$$

T_{ij} has three independent components and we have three equations. Since (15) involves a differential equation the solution will in general be non-local in terms of covariant expressions of $g_{(0)}$. One can restore covariance and locality by introducing an auxiliary scalar ϕ, and integrate over it. T_{ij} then is the stress energy tensor of that scalar. From the trace condition we see that ϕ is the Liouville field. The action for the Liouville theory (with no potential) is

$$W_L = \frac{1}{48\pi} \int_{X_2} d^2x \sqrt{g_{(0)}} \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{(0)}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi + \phi R_{(0)} \right). \tag{16}$$

The occurrence of the energy-momentum tensor of the Liouville field in $g_{(2)}$ has been recently reported in [7]. The field equation for ϕ is

$$\Box \phi = R_{(0)}. \tag{17}$$

Integrating out ϕ the action (16) reduces to the Polyakov non-local effective action. The stress energy tensor is given by

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi + \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{(0)ij} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + 2 \Box \phi \right). \tag{18}$$

One may check that the stress energy tensor satisfies (15), provided (17) is satisfied. One could, more generally, consider the Liouville field with a potential $V(\phi) = \mu e^{-\phi}$. In this case, however, ϕ becomes interacting and it is no longer auxiliary. Furthermore, one can

add to T_{ij} the energy-momentum tensor of arbitrary conformal matter (i.e. matter with traceless, covariantly conserved energy momentum tensor).

According to the prescription in [2, 3] the effective action (or more properly, the generating functional of connected graphs) of the boundary theory is given by the on-shell value of the action functional

$$S[\hat{G}] = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\left[\int_{X_{d+1}} d^{d+1}x\sqrt{\det \hat{G}}\left(\hat{R}+2\Lambda\right) + \int_{M_d} d^d x\sqrt{\det \tilde{g}}2K\right], \tag{19}$$

where K is the trace of the second fundamental form and $\tilde{g}$ is the induced metric at the boundary. The expression in (19), however, is infrared divergent. To regulate the theory we cut-off the bulk integral to $\rho > \epsilon$ for some cut-off $\epsilon > 0$ and evaluate the boundary integral at $\rho = \epsilon$. In [4] the divergences were calculated and shown that can be removed by adding covariant counterterms. The focus here will be on the finite part. An important issue is what is the range of the ρ coordinate. Intuition from spaces that are topologically a ball suggest to integrate from the boundary till the center of the ball. In the center of the bulk, the bulk volume element should vanish. We therefore propose to integrate ρ from ϵ till $\rho_{cr}(x)$, where $\rho_{cr}(x)$ satisfies

$$\det A(x, \rho_{cr}(x)) = 0, \qquad A^2(x,\rho) = g_{(0)}^{-1} g. \tag{20}$$

In the solutions we are considering here, $A(x,\rho)$ is linear in ρ, so $\det A$ is a polynomial of degree d, and (20) has d roots. It is an important question which root one should pick. Intuitively, one might expect that one should pick the smallest positive $\rho_{cr}(x)$ (recall that the boundary is at $\rho = 0$). Furthermore, one could also demand that the area of the surface $\rho = \rho_{cr}(x)$ vanishes. This imposes conditions stronger than (20). In the case the boundary metric is stationary, the latter condition is satisfied if the bulk lapse and shift functions vanish at $\rho = \rho_{cr}(x)$. In general, we expect the choice of the root to also depend on global issues. Leaving a detailed analysis for future study, we now show that for any choice of root, the so-defined effective action transforms correctly under conformal transformation, i.e. it is conformally invariant when d is odd, and its conformal transformation yields the Weyl anomaly of the boundary theory when d is even.

The renormalized effective action, i.e. the on-shell value of (19) with the infinities

cancelled by counterterms, is given by

$$W_{fin} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\frac{d}{l}\int d^dx\sqrt{g_{(0)}}\int^{\rho_{cr}(x)}\frac{d\rho}{\rho^{d/2+1}}\det A(x,\rho) \quad . \tag{21}$$

We now show that it has the correct transformation properties under conformal transformations provided $g_{ij}(x,\rho)$ is a power series in ρ. The determinant of $A(x,\rho)$ can be presented as power series

$$\det A(x,\rho) = \sum_k a_k(x) l^{2k}\rho^k \quad , \qquad a_0 = 1 \quad , \tag{22}$$

containing possibly infinite number of terms. For the solution in (11), where the expansion in ρ terminates at ρ^2, $A(x,\rho)$ is linear in ρ, the sum in (22) is finite and in sufficiently low dimensions one can explicitly obtain the roots of the equation $\det A(x,\rho_{cr}(x)) = 0$. Feeding back in (21) one then obtains the effective action,

$$W_{fin} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\frac{d}{l}\int d^dx\sqrt{g_{(0)}}\left(\sum_{k\neq\frac{d}{2}}\frac{1}{k-\frac{d}{2}}\rho_{cr}^{k-\frac{d}{2}}(x)l^{2k}a_k(x) + a_{\frac{d}{2}}(x)\ln\rho_{cr}(x)\right) \quad , \tag{23}$$

where the last term appears only when d is even. This expression is in general non-analytic in the curvatures. The starting point in the derivation of (23) was (19) which is the string theory effective action to lowest order in derivatives. Therefore, only the leading part in derivatives of (23) can be reliably considered as the effective action of the dual conformal field theory.

From the form of the field equation in (7)-(9) follows that in the expansion of the metric, ρ is always accompanied by a factor of l^2. Then, by dimensional analysis the coefficients $a_k(x)$ in (22) contain $2k$ derivatives. It follows that under the infinitesimal conformal transformation $\delta_\sigma g_{(0)} = 2\sigma(x)g_{(0)}$ they transform as

$$\delta_\sigma a_k(x) = -2k\sigma(x)a_k(x) + \mathcal{O}(\nabla\sigma(x)) \quad . \tag{24}$$

Using this transformation property we now compute the variation of the functional (23) under a conformal variation of the boundary metric $g_{(0)}$. The variation of $\rho_{cr}(x)$ in W_{fin} does not contribute as one sees from (21): the corresponding contribution is proportional to $\det A(x,\rho_{cr}(x))$ which vanishes due to the definition of ρ_{cr}. Performing the remaining

variations we get for any d

$$\delta_\sigma W_{fin} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\frac{2d}{l}\int d^d x\sqrt{g_{(0)}}\sigma(x)\left[\rho_{cr}^{-d/2}(x)\left(\sum_{k\neq\frac{d}{2}} a_k(x) l^{2k}\rho_{cr}^k(x)\right) \quad + \nabla_i J^i\right], \quad (25)$$

where the term $\nabla_i J^i$ comes from the terms proportional to a derivative of the σ upon partial integration. Such contribution to the effective action can come from covariant counterterms [4]. The opposite is also expected to be true, i.e. that all contributions of the form $\nabla_i J^i$ can be cancelled by covariant counterterms, although, to our knowledge, this has not been shown in general. Furthermore, these counterterms would be higher derivative terms, and as we argued above our considerations are to leading order in derivatives. With these caveats, we proceed by omitting these terms.

When d is odd, the terms inside the parenthesis in (25) are just equal to $\det A(x,\rho)$ evaluate at $\rho = \rho_{cr}(x)$. Hence, the variation (25) vanishes and the effective action is conformally invariant. When d is even the infinite sum in (25) reduces to single term $-a_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) l^d \rho_{cr}^{\frac{d}{2}}(x)$ and (25) reads

$$\delta_\sigma W_{fin} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} d l^{d-1}\int d^d x \left(2\sigma(x) a_{\frac{d}{2}}(x)\right) \quad .$$

This results in the conformal anomaly

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} d l^{d-1} a_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \quad . \quad (26)$$

which coincides with the result in [4].

The effective action we have computed is, by the adS/CFT correspondence, the effective action of some conformal field theory at strong coupling. Unless there is a non-renormalization theorem, one does not expect this strong coupling result to agree with a weak coupling computation (using, for instance, heat kernel methods) on the conformal field theory side. However, when $d = 2$ the effective action is determined, up to a constant which is the central charge, from the conformal anomaly. Since there is only one possible conformal anomaly in $d = 2$ the effective action in weak and strong coupling can at most differ by the value of the central charge at weak and strong coupling. Therefore, for $d = 2$ the effective action in (23) should reduce to the Polyakov action (or, at least, to an action which is in the same universality class with the Polyakov action). In higher

even boundary dimensions, one can similarly obtain the effective action up to conformally invariant terms by integrating the conformal anomaly. Since our boundary metric is conformally flat, the result is expected to be, up to higher derivative terms, equal to the effective action coming from integrating the Euler part of the conformal anomaly. When the boundary dimension is odd, and since our boundary metric is conformally flat, the (conformally invariant) effective action reduces to a constant (which can be obtained by evaluating the on-shell gravitational action for a flat boundary metric).

In the remainder we restrict ourselves to $d = 2$ and consider the case the boundary metric $g_{(0)}$ is a general static metric,

$$ds^2 = h(x)d\tau^2 + \frac{dx^2}{h(x)} \quad , \tag{27}$$

for arbitrary $h(x)$. This, for suitable $h(x)$, can be the metric of any two dimensional compact Riemann surface since the latter can always be equipped with a metric of constant scalar curvature. Specifically, for a sphere of radius a, $h(x) = 1 - x^2/a^2$, for a torus, $h(x) = 1$, and for a hyperbolic plane of radius a, $h(x) = x^2/a^2 - 1$. One can also consider metrics describing non-compact spaces, such as the metric of a 2d Schwarzschild black hole, $h(x) = 1 - a/x$. The bulk metric is still a solution of Einstein's equation, but one has to be careful with what the boundary of spacetime is. Since the two-dimensional slices are non-compact the true boundary generically has components in all slices.

Given the boundary metric in (27) one can explicitly integrate (17) once,

$$\phi = -\frac{4J}{l^2 B}\tau + \phi_0(x) \quad , \qquad \phi_0' = \frac{h' - B}{h} \quad , \tag{28}$$

where the prime indicates differentiation with respect to x and J and B are integration constants. $g_{(2)}$ is then given by a local expression in terms of h and its derivatives. Inserting in (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{l^2 d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\left[h\left((1 + \frac{l^2}{16}\frac{h'^2 - b^2}{h}\rho)^2 + \rho^2 (\frac{J}{2h})^2 \right) d\tau^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. 2\rho\frac{J}{h}\left(1 + \frac{l^2}{8}h''\rho\right) dx d\tau + \frac{1}{h}\left((1 + \frac{l^2}{4}h''\rho - \frac{l^2}{16}\frac{h'^2 - b^2}{h}\rho)^2 + \rho^2(\frac{J}{2h})^2 \right) dx^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $b^2 = B^2 - (4J/Bl^2)^2$. This metric is the most general metric of constant negative curvature with a static metric on the boundary. It depends on two arbitrary constants, b and J.

Consider the case $h(x)$ has a simple zero at some point $x = x_+$. This can happen for instance if the metric (27) describes a compact closed manifold with a topology of a sphere (in which case it vanishes at two points), or if the metric describes a two dimensional black hole. Regularity of the Euclidean two-dimensional metric requires that τ is periodic with period equal to the inverse Hawking temperature, $T_H^{-1} = 4\pi/h'(x_+)$. In this case, we find that in order for the bulk metric to be smooth, J should be equal to zero, and $b = h'(x_+)$, i.e. the integration constant b is related to the Hawking temperature of the boundary geometry. One further finds that the latter condition ensures that ϕ is regular at $x = x_+$. If $h(x)$ does not have a zero then J may be non-zero. In particular, if the boundary geometry is a torus, so $h(x) = 1$, the solution (29) is the rotating BTZ black hole solution with mass and angular momentum given by $M_{BTZ} = b/2$ and $j_{BTZ} = Jl$.

Let us calculate the effective action in $d = 2$. From (23) we get

$$W_{fin} = \frac{c}{12\pi l^2} \int_{X_2} \left(\frac{l^2}{4} R \ln \rho_{cr}(x) - \frac{2}{\rho_{cr}(x)} - \frac{l^2}{4} R \right), \tag{30}$$

where we introduced $c = 3l/2G$, which is equal to the value of the central charge of the strongly coupled CFT [8, 4]. The equation for the critical value of ρ, $\det A(x, \rho_{cr}(x)) = 0$, gives a quadratic equation. The discriminant is equal to $\Delta = \frac{1}{8}\left(\mathrm{Tr}T^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr}T)^2\right)$, where T is the Liouville energy momentum tensor. One may check that Δ is always positive. The two roots are equal to $\rho_{cr}^{\pm} = -8/[l^2(R \pm 4\sqrt{\Delta})]$. When the boundary metric is static and $J = 0$ the results simplify because Δ becomes a total square. We restrict our attention to this case. We further choose the root that yields zero area for the surface $\rho = \rho_{cr}(x)$. This root can be determined by demanding that $g_{\tau\tau}$ vanishes at $\rho = \rho_{cr}(x)$. The result is $\rho_{cr}(x) = \frac{16}{l^2} \frac{h(x)}{h'^2(x_+) - h'^2(x)}$. The critical value $\rho_{cr}(x)$ is positive provided $h''(x) < 0$ and smaller than the second root if $h'''(x) > 0$.

We have argued above that (30) should be a multiple of the Polyakov action (16), with the multiplicity factor being the central charge of the strongly coupled CFT. In general, one may need field redefinitions in order to demonstrate this fact. In the case of static metric with $J = 0$ such complications do not arise. To obtain the Polyakov action we need to integrate (28) (with $J = 0$) once more, since it is ϕ that appears in (16). This involves a new integration constant. The latter can be fixed by choosing a reference manifold D_2

on which the quantum state is the vacuum state and demanding that $\phi_{D_2} = 0$ [9]. Let us first consider the case the $2d$ manifold is non-compact, and put it on the box of size L. With the choice of reference manifold in [9], we get

$$\phi(x) = \int_x^L dx \frac{b - h'(x)}{h(x)} + 2\ln(2\pi T_H^{-1} h^{1/2}(L)\mu) \quad , \tag{31}$$

where μ is related to the size of the reference manifold. It is straightforward to evaluate (16). The result is

$$W_L = \frac{1}{12b}\int_{x_+}^L dx \left(\frac{b^2 - h'^2}{2h}\right) - \frac{1}{12}\phi(x_+) \quad , \tag{32}$$

where x_+ is the zero of $h(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ satisfies (31). One may verify that W_{fin} and cW_L differ only by boundary terms, and that the latter vanish for asymptotically flat boundary spacetimes in the large L limit (modulo terms independent of the boundary geometry). The same calculation, yielding again agreement, can be done for the case of spherical geometry by considering two coordinate patches. More generally, if W_{fin} and cW_L only differ by boundary terms, one can achieve exact agreement by suitable choice of the reference manifold. Let us finally note that our results are in agreement with the results in [10] where it was shown that the asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with constant negative curvature is described by Liouville theory.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank J. de Boer, R. Dijkgraaf, G. Gibbons and R. Myers for useful discussions. KS is supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

References

[1] J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2** (1998) 231-252, hep-th/9711200.

[2] S. Gubser, I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, *Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory*, Phys.Lett. **B428** (1998) 105-114, hep-th/9802109.

[3] E. Witten, *Anti De Sitter Space And Holography*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2** (1998) 253-291, hep-th/9802150.

[4] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, *The holographic Weyl anomaly*, JHEP **9807** (1998) 23, hep-th/9806087; *Holography and the Weyl anomaly*, hep-th/9812032.

[5] C.R. Graham and J.M. Lee, *Einstein Metrics with Prescribed Conformal Infinity on the Ball*, Adv. Math. **87** (1991) 186.

[6] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham, "Conformal Invariants", in *Elie Cartan et les Mathematiques d'aujourd'hui* (Asterisque, 1985) 95.

[7] K. Bautier, *Diffeomorphisms and Weyl transformations in AdS_3 gravity*, talk given in the TMR meeting, Paris, September 1999, hep-th/9910134.

[8] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges In The Canonical Realization Of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example From Three-Dimensional Gravity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 207.

[9] V. P. Frolov, W. Israel and S. N. Solodukhin, *One-loop quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of charged black holes*, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 2732-2745, hep-th/9602105.

[10] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux and P. van Driel, *The asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 2961-2966, gr-qc/9506019.